UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,504	10/05/2005	Roy Hom	02-1033-A6	6751
	7590 08/26/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	8 RT & BERGHOFF LLP	EXAM	IINER
300 S. WACKE			SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/529,504	HOM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Taofiq A. Solola	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_ · · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13-15</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 25 LLC C S 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •		Stane			
application from the International Bureau	•		Clago			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	,					
Attachment(s)		(DTO 463)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [Other:					

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-10, 13-15, are pending in this application.

Claims 11-12 are cancelled.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-10, 13-15, are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13) and, accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(b), provides that □special technical features □ mean those technical features which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art (novelty/unobviousness).

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to compounds of formula I and composition thereof, classifiable in several non-heterocyclic classes and numerous subclasses.
- II. Claims 13-14, drawn to various methods of using compounds of formula I, classifiable in several non-heterocyclic classes and numerous subclasses.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to a process of making compounds of formula I, classifiable in several non-heterocyclic classes and numerous subclasses.
- 1. In the instant inventions, the only structural element shared by groups I-III is =NCH₂COHOHCN=. However, =NCH₂COHOHCN= is not novel. Therefore, under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2, =NCH₂COHOHCN= does not constitute a corresponding special technical feature among the groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,504 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

If applicant elects the invention of group II or in a rejoinder thereof, a specific disease must be elected, and group II would be examined commensurate in scope therewith.

2. In an election of any of Groups I-III, an election of a single compound (or set of compounds) is further required including an exact definition of each substitution on the base molecule (Formula IA), wherein a single member at each substituent group or moiety is selected. For example, if a base molecule has a substituent group R1, wherein R1 is recited to be any one of H, OH, COOH, aryl, alkoxy, halogen, amino, etc., then applicant must select a single substituent of R1, for example OH or aryl, and each subsequent variable position.

In the instant case, Applicant must elect one representative for each substituent in formula I, and the point of attachment of each elected substituent must be specified. The elected substituents must be specific not generic so as to define a species. Applicant must provide the structure of the elected compound, and identify the substituents corresponding to the substituents in formula I.

Advisory of Rejoinder

The following is a recitation of M.P.E.P. §821.04, Rejoinder:

Where product and process claims drawn to independent and distinct inventions are presented in the same application, applicant may be called upon under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect claims to either the product or process. See MPEP § 806.05(f) and § 806.05(h). The claims to the nonelected invention will be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142. See MPEP § 809.02© and § 821 through § 821.03. However, if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims, which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.

Where product and process claims are presented in a single application and that application qualifies under the transitional restriction practice pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(b), applicant may either (1) elect the invention to be searched and examined and pay the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(s) and have the additional inventions searched and examined under 37 CFR 1.129(b)(2), or (2) elect the invention to be searched and examined and not pay the additional fee (37 CFR 1.129(b)(3)). Where no additional fee is paid, if the elected invention is directed to the product and the claims directed to the product are subsequently found patentable, process claims which either depend from or include all the limitations of the allowable product will be rejoined. If applicant chooses to pay the fees to have the additional inventions searched and examined pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(b)(2), even if the product is found allowable, applicant would not be entitled to a refund of the fees paid under 37 CFR 1.129(b) by arguing that the process claims could have been rejoined. 37 CFR 1.26 states that "[m]oney paid by actual mistake or in excess will be refunded, but a mere change of purpose after the payment of money...will not entitle a party to demand such a return..." The fees paid under 37 CFR 1.129(b) were not paid by actual mistake nor paid in excess, therefore, applicant would not be entitled to a refund.

Art Unit: 1625

In the event of rejoinder, the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 - 1.106. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. If the application containing the rejoined claims is not in condition for allowance, the subsequent Office action may be made final, or, if the application was already under final rejection, the next Office action may be an advisory action.

Page 4

The following is a recitation from paragraph five, "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. §103(b)" (1184 TMOG 86(March 26, 1996)):

"However, in the case of an elected product claim, rejoinder will be permitted when a product claim is found allowable and the withdrawn process claim **depends** from or otherwise includes all the limitations of an allowed product claim. Withdrawn process claims not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined." (Emphasis added)

Therefore, in accordance with M.P.E.P. §821.04 and *In re Ochiai*, 71 F.3d 1565, 37 USPQ 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1995), rejoinder of product claims with process claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable. Until, such time, a restriction between product claims and process claims is deemed proper. Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to maintain either dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,504 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

/Taofiq A. Solola/

Primary Examiner, 1625

August 24, 2008